



## APOCALYPTIC ETHICS IN THE HISTORICAL JESUS MOVEMENT

Linus A. P. Umaru, PhD

Department of Religion and Philosophy  
University of Jos

### Abstract

*This paper investigates the ethical teachings of the Historical Jesus through the framework of Second Temple Jewish apocalypticism, arguing that his moral imperatives were fundamentally shaped by an expectation of imminent divine intervention. Analyzing Synoptic Gospel traditions, the Q source, and comparative Jewish apocalyptic texts (e.g., Daniel, 1 Enoch, Dead Sea Scrolls), the study demonstrates that Jesus' radical ethics—including renunciation of wealth (Luke 12:33), nonviolent resistance (Matthew 5:44), and inclusivity of marginalized groups (Luke 15:1–2)—functioned as both preparation for and performative enactment of the “Kingdom of God.” Engaging scholarly debates between proponents of an apocalyptic Jesus (Schweitzer, 1906; Ehrman, 1999) and advocates of a wisdom-oriented Jesus (Borg, 1987; Crossan, 1991), the research employs historical-critical methods, including criteria of authenticity and social memory theory, to prioritize sayings such as Mark 1:15 and Matthew 25:31–46. Findings reveal that Jesus' ethics were rooted in cosmic dualism, eschatological reversal, and urgency, reflecting Jewish apocalyptic motifs like divine judgment and resurrection. The study further examines how early Christian communities adapted these ethics amid delayed eschatological expectations, fostering practices such as communal resource-sharing (Acts 2:44–45) and martyrdom theology (Revelation 2:10). By bridging theological and socio-political analyses, the paper reaffirms Schweitzer's “consistent eschatology” while integrating modern insights into Jesus' subversion of Roman and Temple hierarchies. It concludes that apocalypticism was central to Jesus' ethical vision, offering a transformative framework that sustained early Christian identity and remains relevant to contemporary discussions on social justice and ethical radicalism.*

**Keywords:** apocalyptic ethics, Historical Jesus, Kingdom of God, Second Temple Judaism, eschatology

### Introduction

The ethical teachings of the Historical Jesus have long occupied a central place in New Testament scholarship, yet their interpretation remains deeply contested. At the heart of this debate lies the question of whether Jesus' moral imperatives—marked by urgency, radical demands for social and economic justice, and a vision of communal inclusivity—were rooted in an apocalyptic worldview anticipating the imminent arrival of God's Kingdom. This paper argues that Jesus' ethical teachings were inextricably tied to his apocalyptic proclamation, functioning as both preparation for and embodiment of the eschatological Kingdom of God. By situating Jesus within the socio-political and theological landscape of Second Temple Judaism, this study challenges non-apocalyptic interpretations and reaffirms Albert Schweitzer's (1906) foundational thesis of Jesus as an apocalyptic prophet, while integrating contemporary insights into the socio-political dimensions of his movement.



## **Historiographical Context and Scholarly Divergence**

The quest to understand Jesus' ethics has evolved through successive waves of scholarship, each shaped by its intellectual and cultural milieu. The "First Quest" for the Historical Jesus, epitomized by Albert Schweitzer's *The Quest of the Historical Jesus* (1906), positioned Jesus as an apocalyptic figure whose ethics were provisional guidelines for the brief period preceding the Kingdom's arrival. Schweitzer's "consistent eschatology" contended that Jesus' moral injunctions, such as the call to abandon wealth (Mark 10:21) and love enemies (Matthew 5:44), were "interim ethics" designed for a world soon to be transformed by divine intervention. However, mid-20th-century scholarship, influenced by Rudolf Bultmann's existentialist theology, dismissed Schweitzer's apocalyptic framework as untenable, reframing Jesus' teachings as timeless spiritual truths divorced from eschatology (Bultmann, 1951).

The "Second Quest" (1950s–1970s) reintroduced historical rigor, with scholars like Ernst Käsemann (1964) cautiously acknowledging apocalyptic elements in Jesus' message. The contemporary "Third Quest," informed by advances in archaeology, social-scientific methods, and Jewish studies, has polarized into two camps: those advocating an apocalyptic Jesus (e.g., Bart Ehrman, Dale Allison, N.T. Wright) and those proposing a wisdom-oriented or social-reformist Jesus (e.g., Marcus Borg, John Dominic Crossan). Marcus Borg (1987) and John Dominic Crossan (1991), for instance, argue that Jesus' ethics—emphasizing inclusivity, nonviolence, and egalitarianism—reflect a subversive wisdom tradition rather than apocalyptic urgency. Crossan's portrayal of Jesus as a Mediterranean peasant philosopher, advocating for a "brokerless Kingdom" through open commensality and healing, explicitly rejects apocalypticism as a later theological imposition.

By contrast, Dale Allison (2010) and Bart Ehrman (1999) maintain that Jesus' teachings are unintelligible without reference to apocalyptic eschatology. Allison identifies recurring themes in Jesus' sayings—future judgment, hostility to wealth, and persecution—as hallmarks of Jewish millenarian movements. Similarly, N.T. Wright (1996) reinterprets apocalyptic language as symbolic of historical crises, arguing that Jesus' proclamation of the Kingdom addressed Israel's covenantal renewal rather than cosmic cataclysm. This study engages these debates, contending that Jesus' ethics cannot be disentangled from his apocalyptic worldview, which sought to subvert both Roman imperial power and Temple-based hierarchies.

## **Socio-Political Context of First-Century Judea**

Jesus' ministry unfolded in a context of profound socio-political upheaval. Roman occupation, economic exploitation, and Temple corruption fueled widespread apocalyptic expectations among Jewish groups. Following the Maccabean Revolt (167–160 BCE) and the subsequent Hasmonean dynasty, Judea fell under Roman control in 63 BCE, culminating in the installation of Herod the Great as a client king (37–4 BCE). Herod's tyrannical rule and lavish Hellenistic building projects,



including the expansion of the Jerusalem Temple, exacerbated socio-economic disparities, alienating peasants burdened by heavy taxation and debt cycles (Horsley, 2003). The Roman annexation of Judea in 6 CE and the rise of the *Pax Romana* further entrenched systemic inequality, creating a fertile ground for apocalyptic and messianic movements. Texts like Daniel 7–12, the *Psalms of Solomon*, and the Dead Sea Scrolls reveal a Jewish apocalyptic imagination anticipating divine intervention to overthrow oppressive powers. The Qumran community, for example, withdrew into desert asceticism, preparing for a cosmic battle between the “Sons of Light” and “Sons of Darkness” (Collins, 1998). Meanwhile, popular movements like the Zealots advocated violent resistance to Roman rule, interpreting political liberation as a divine mandate. Jesus’ proclamation of the Kingdom of God (βασιλεία τοῦ Θεοῦ) emerged within this milieu but subverted prevailing paradigms. Unlike the Qumran sectarians, Jesus engaged marginalized groups—tax collectors, sinners, and women—as agents of the Kingdom (Luke 15:1–2), while his critique of wealth (Luke 6:24) and Temple practices (Mark 11:15–17) directly challenged collaborationist elites.

### Methodological Framework

This study employs a multidisciplinary approach, integrating historical-critical methods with social-scientific and theological analyses. The criteria of authenticity—multiple attestation, dissimilarity, contextual credibility, and coherence—are applied to evaluate Gospel traditions. For instance, the multiple attestation of Kingdom sayings in Mark, Q, and independent traditions (e.g., Thomas 113) strengthens their historical plausibility. The criterion of contextual credibility situates Jesus’ ethics within Jewish apocalypticism, as seen in his use of Danielic imagery (e.g., “Son of Man” in Mark 13:26) and Jubilee themes (Luke 4:18–19).

Critics like Chris Keith (2011) caution against overreliance on these criteria, noting their susceptibility to interpretive bias. To mitigate this, the analysis incorporates social memory theory, which acknowledges the fluidity of tradition while identifying “memory refraction” points that reflect authentic historical kernels (Le Donne, 2009). Non-canonical texts, such as the Gospel of Thomas, are examined to triangulate Jesus’ teachings, while archaeological evidence (e.g., Galilean village structures) informs reconstructions of his socio-economic context.

### Thesis and Significance

This paper contends that Jesus’ ethical teachings were fundamentally apocalyptic, serving as urgent responses to the belief that God’s reign was breaking into history. His radical demands—renunciation of wealth, enemy love, and inclusivity—were not abstract virtues but performative enactments of the Kingdom’s values, challenging Roman imperial and Temple-based power structures. By reaffirming Schweitzer’s “consistent eschatology” while engaging contemporary scholarship, this study bridges theological and historical analyses, demonstrating how Jesus’ ethics shaped early Christian communities and remain relevant to modern ethical discourse. The implications extend beyond historical reconstruction. Understanding Jesus’ ethics as apocalyptic reshapes contemporary theological debates, particularly in discussions of social justice,



nonviolence, and ecological ethics. For instance, modern movements advocating for economic equity or climate action often echo Jesus' apocalyptic urgency, framing ethical imperatives in light of impending crisis.

## **Structure of the Paper**

Following this introduction, the paper explores the apocalyptic context of Second Temple Judaism (Section 2), analyzes Jesus' ethical teachings (Section 3), examines their eschatological motivations (Section 4), and assesses their impact on early Christian communities (Section 5). The conclusion reflects on the enduring relevance of Jesus' apocalyptic ethics and proposes future research directions.

By synthesizing historical, theological, and social-scientific approaches, this study aims to illuminate the transformative power of Jesus' apocalyptic vision, offering a compelling challenge to systems of oppression and a testament to the enduring relevance of eschatological hope.

## **Exploring the Apocalyptic Context of Second Temple Judaism**

The apocalyptic worldview of Second Temple Judaism (515 BCE–70 CE) formed the theological and cultural bedrock for the Historical Jesus' ministry, ethical teachings, and eschatological vision. This period, marked by foreign domination, socio-political upheaval, and theological innovation, saw the proliferation of apocalyptic literature and movements anticipating divine intervention to overthrow oppressive powers and establish God's reign. By examining the historical backdrop, key characteristics of apocalyptic thought, and its socio-political catalysts, this section situates Jesus within a milieu defined by cosmic dualism, imminent judgment, and transformative hope.

### *1. Historical Overview of Second Temple Judaism*

The Second Temple period began with the rebuilding of the Jerusalem Temple under Persian rule (Ezra 1:1–4) and ended with its destruction by the Romans in 70 CE. During this era, Jewish identity was shaped by successive imperial dominations—Persian, Hellenistic, and Roman—each leaving distinct marks on religious thought. The trauma of the Babylonian exile (586–538 BCE) catalyzed theological reflection on divine justice, resulting in texts like Daniel 7–12, which reimagined Israel's destiny through apocalyptic visions of cosmic battles, resurrection, and a coming "Son of Man" (Daniel 7:13–14). The Hellenistic period (332–63 BCE) introduced Greek cultural norms, provoking resistance movements like the Maccabean Revolt (167–160 BCE). The Book of Daniel, composed during this crisis (c. 165 BCE), framed Antiochus IV Epiphanes' desecration of the Temple as the "abomination of desolation" (Daniel 11:31), interpreting persecution through an apocalyptic lens. Roman annexation of Judea in 63 BCE exacerbated tensions, as heavy taxation, puppet rulers (e.g., Herod the Great), and Temple corruption fueled messianic hopes for a Davidic king who would restore Israel's sovereignty.



## 2. Key Characteristics of Jewish Apocalypticism

Apocalypticism in Second Temple Judaism was characterized by several interrelated themes, reflecting a worldview steeped in divine revelation and cosmic conflict:

1. **Cosmic Dualism:** Apocalyptic texts depicted a cosmic struggle between divine and demonic forces. The Dead Sea Scrolls, particularly the *War Scroll* (1QM), described an impending battle between the “Sons of Light” and “Sons of Darkness,” led by the archangel Michael and Belial, respectively. This dualism framed history as a conflict between God’s sovereignty and oppressive earthly powers, such as Rome.
2. **Eschatological Hope:** Apocalyptic writers anticipated divine intervention to end suffering, punish the wicked, and vindicate the righteous. The *Book of Enoch* (1 Enoch) envisioned a final judgment where the “Elect One” would reign over a renewed creation (1 Enoch 45:3–6), while Daniel 12:2–3 promised resurrection for the faithful.
3. **Resurrection and Afterlife:** Unlike earlier Hebrew thought, which emphasized communal earthly blessings, apocalyptic texts introduced individual resurrection. 2 Maccabees 7:9–14 depicts martyrs expecting postmortem vindication, a belief that shaped Jesus’ teachings on eternal life.
4. **Revelatory Visions:** Apocalyptic knowledge was mediated through angels, dreams, or heavenly journeys. The *Apocalypse of Abraham* detailed celestial visions to comprehend divine mysteries, while Daniel’s visions (e.g., Dan 7–12) used symbolic imagery to interpret historical crises.
5. **Imminence of the End:** Apocalypticists believed they were living in the “end times,” with divine intervention imminent. Jesus’ proclamation, “The time is fulfilled, and the kingdom of God has come near” (Mark 1:15), echoed this urgency, framing his ministry as the climax of Jewish eschatological hopes.

## 3. Socio-Political Catalysts for Apocalyptic Thought

Apocalypticism flourished in contexts of perceived existential threat. Roman occupation, beginning with Pompey’s conquest of Jerusalem in 63 BCE, disrupted Jewish autonomy and exacerbated socio-economic disparities. The Roman client king Herod the Great (37–4 BCE) funded lavish Hellenistic projects, including the Temple expansion, but his tyrannical rule alienated many Jews. The Temple itself became a focal point of contention. While the Sadducees upheld Temple rituals, groups like the Essenes critiqued its corruption. The Qumran community withdrew to the desert, declaring the Temple priesthood illegitimate and awaiting a purified sanctuary. Economic exploitation—Roman tribute, Herodian taxes, and debt cycles—impoverished rural peasants, creating a receptive audience for movements promising divine reversal. Jesus’ Beatitudes (“Blessed are you who are poor,” Luke 6:20) directly addressed this marginalized demographic. The Maccabean Revolt and Hasmonean dynasty (142–37 BCE) briefly



restored Jewish autonomy but later succumbed to corruption, fueling disillusionment. By the first century CE, many Jews viewed the

Herodian dynasty and Roman collaborators as agents of evil, necessitating divine intervention.

#### 4. *Apocalyptic Movements and Figures*

Several movements exemplify the diversity of Jewish apocalyptic responses:

- **The Qumran Community:** The Dead Sea Scrolls reveal a sectarian group preparing for an apocalyptic war. Their *Community Rule* (1QS) mandated strict purity laws and communal ownership of goods, viewing themselves as the “elect” who would survive God’s final judgment. They anticipated two messiahs: a priestly “Messiah of Aaron” and a royal “Messiah of Israel”.
- **John the Baptist:** A pivotal apocalyptic figure, John preached a baptism of repentance “for the forgiveness of sins” (Mark 1:4), framing his ministry as preparation for the “one more powerful” who would baptize “with the Holy Spirit and fire” (Matthew 3:11). His execution by Herod Antipas underscores the political threat posed by apocalyptic prophets.
- **Jesus of Nazareth:** Jesus’ teachings and actions were embedded in apocalyptic symbolism. His use of the title “Son of Man” (Mark 8:38) invoked Daniel 7:13–14, combining messianic and judicial roles. The Kingdom of God (βασιλεία τοῦ Θεοῦ) carried socio-political connotations, challenging Roman and Temple hierarchies through acts like the Temple protest (Mark 11:15–17) and exorcisms symbolizing Satan’s overthrow (Luke 11:20).

#### 5. *Scholarly Interpretations and Debates*

Scholars remain divided on the nature and influence of apocalypticism in Jesus’ ministry:

- **Albert Schweitzer:** Argued Jesus was an apocalyptic prophet whose ethics were “interim guidelines” for the imminent Kingdom. Schweitzer’s “consistent eschatology” posited that Jesus’ moral injunctions (e.g., wealth renunciation) were tied to an expected cosmic upheaval.
- **E.P. Sanders:** Expanded Schweitzer’s framework, situating Jesus within Jewish restoration eschatology. Sanders identified Jesus’ Temple actions as symbolic of its impending destruction, aligning him with apocalyptic prophets like Jeremiah.
- **N.T. Wright:** Reinterpreted apocalyptic language as symbolic of historical crises (e.g., the Temple’s destruction). Wright argued Jesus’ Kingdom proclamation addressed Israel’s covenantal renewal rather than cosmic cataclysm, emphasizing a “now and not yet” eschatology.
- **Critics of Apocalyptic Jesus:** Marcus Borg and John Dominic Crossan proposed a non-apocalyptic Jesus focused on wisdom and social reform. They argue sayings like the Lord’s



Prayer (“Your Kingdom come,” Matthew 6:10) reflect metaphorical, not literal, eschatology 109.

## *6. Impact on Early Christianity*

The early church inherited and adapted apocalyptic ethics. Communal practices like shared goods (Acts 2:44–45) and pacifism emerged as lived expressions of the Kingdom’s values. Paul’s letters (e.g., 1 Thessalonians 4:13–17) reflect expectations of an imminent *Parousia*, though the delayed eschaton prompted theological shifts, such as John’s realized eschatology (John 5:24).

The destruction of the Temple in 70 CE catalyzed reinterpretations of Jesus’ prophecies. Mark 13 aligns the Temple’s fall with the Son of Man’s coming, framing it as divine judgment on corrupt institutions.

The apocalyptic context of Second Temple Judaism was not merely a backdrop but the crucible in which Jesus’ ethical and theological vision took shape. His teachings on the Kingdom of God, radical inclusivity, and divine judgment emerged from a tradition grappling with foreign domination, Temple corruption, and the hope for ultimate redemption. By situating Jesus within this milieu, we gain a fuller understanding of his mission as both a continuation of Jewish apocalypticism and a transformative reinterpretation of its symbols. Scholarly debates continue to illuminate how apocalyptic expectations shaped early Christian identity, offering a lens through which to interpret the enduring relevance of Jesus’ radical ethics in contemporary discussions of justice and hope.

### **Ethical Teachings of the Historical Jesus**

The ethical teachings of the Historical Jesus, often radical and countercultural, were inseparable from his apocalyptic proclamation of the imminent Kingdom of God. Rooted in the socio-religious milieu of Second Temple Judaism, these teachings challenged prevailing norms of power, purity, and privilege while offering a transformative vision of community grounded in divine justice and eschatological hope. This section examines key ethical imperatives in Jesus’ ministry—renunciation of wealth, nonviolence, radical inclusivity, forgiveness, humility, care for the marginalized, and critique of hypocrisy—demonstrating their coherence within an apocalyptic framework.

#### **1. Renunciation of Wealth**

Jesus’ teachings on wealth were among his most radical and consistent ethical demands. Sayings such as “Sell your possessions, and give alms” (Luke 12:33) and “It is easier for a camel to go through the eye of a needle than for someone who is rich to enter the kingdom of God” (Mark 10:25) reflect a profound rejection of materialism as incompatible with the values of the Kingdom. The story of the rich young ruler (Mark 10:17–22) epitomizes this ethic: Jesus instructs the man to divest his wealth to attain “treasure in heaven,” framing economic renunciation as a prerequisite



for discipleship. This teaching emerged from the apocalyptic belief that wealth distracted from readiness for divine judgment. The parable of the Rich Fool (Luke 12:16–21), who hoards resources only to face sudden death, underscores the transience of earthly possessions. Similarly, the Beatitudes' declaration—"Blessed are you who are poor, for yours is the kingdom of God" (Luke 6:20)—promised eschatological reversal, privileging the economically marginalized.

### Scholarly Perspectives:

- **John Dominic Crossan** (1991) interprets Jesus' wealth ethics as part of a "brokerless Kingdom," advocating for egalitarian sharing in contrast to Roman patronage systems.
- **Dale Allison** (2010) links these teachings to Jewish millenarian movements, where communal austerity prepared adherents for divine intervention.
- **Marcus Borg** (1987) downplays apocalyptic urgency, framing wealth renunciation as a metaphor for spiritual detachment.

## 2. Nonviolence and Enemy Love

Jesus' injunction to "love your enemies and pray for those who persecute you" (Matthew 5:44) subverted conventional notions of retributive justice. The call to "turn the other cheek" (Matthew 5:39) and "go the second mile" (Matthew 5:41) rejected violent resistance to Roman oppression, advocating instead for radical nonviolence. These teachings reflected an apocalyptic trust in divine vindication: by relinquishing vengeance, believers mirrored God's mercy, which would soon rectify all injustices.

The Sermon on the Mount (Matthew 5–7) framed nonviolence as a hallmark of the Kingdom's citizens. Jesus' refusal to sanction armed rebellion, even during his arrest (Matthew 26:52), contrasted sharply with contemporary movements like the Zealots, who sought political liberation through violence.

### Scholarly Debates:

- **Walter Wink** (1992) interprets "turning the other cheek" as a form of creative resistance that exposed systemic humiliation without escalating violence.
- **Richard Horsley** (2003) argues that Jesus' nonviolence was a strategic critique of Roman imperial power, not passive acquiescence.
- **Bart Ehrman** (1999) ties this ethic to apocalyptic urgency: since God's judgment was imminent, human retaliation was unnecessary.

## 3. Radical Inclusivity

Jesus' fellowship with tax collectors, sinners, Samaritans, and women (e.g., Luke 15:1–2; John 4:7–26) dismantled social hierarchies, signaling the Kingdom's inclusivity. By sharing meals with



outcasts (Luke 14:12–14) and declaring that “the last will be first” (Matthew 20:16), Jesus redefined purity and belonging. His parable of the Great Banquet (Luke 14:15–24), where the marginalized replace the invited elite, subverted expectations of who would partake in the eschatological feast.

This inclusivity extended to ethnic boundaries. The Good Samaritan parable (Luke 10:25–37) challenged Jewish-Samaritan animosities, while Jesus’ healing of a Roman centurion’s servant (Matthew 8:5–13) affirmed faith beyond Israel.

#### Apocalyptic Framework:

- **E.P. Sanders** (1985) situates Jesus’ inclusivity within Jewish restoration eschatology, where the ingathering of Israel’s “lost sheep” prefigured the Kingdom.
- **Amy-Jill Levine** (2006) critiques interpretations that pit Jesus against Judaism, emphasizing his continuity with prophetic calls for justice.

#### 4. Forgiveness and Reconciliation

Forgiveness was central to Jesus’ ethical vision. The Lord’s Prayer—“Forgive us our debts, as we also have forgiven our debtors” (Matthew 6:12)—linked divine mercy to human reconciliation. The parable of the Unforgiving Servant (Matthew 18:23–35) warned that failure to forgive jeopardized one’s standing in the Kingdom.

Jesus’ command to forgive “seventy-seven times” (Matthew 18:22) transcended legalistic limits, reflecting Jubilee themes of debt release (Leviticus 25). His forgiveness of the woman caught in adultery (John 8:1–11) and the dying thief (Luke 23:43) exemplified this ethic, offering grace even in extremis.

#### Scholarly Insights:

- **Gerd Theissen** (1998) connects Jesus’ forgiveness to apocalyptic hope: since God’s judgment was near, reconciliation was urgent.
- **N.T. Wright** (1996) frames forgiveness as a foretaste of the New Covenant, restoring relationships fractured by sin.

#### 5. Humility and Servanthood

Jesus redefined power as servanthood, declaring, “Whoever wants to be first must be last of all and servant of all” (Mark 9:35). The washing of the disciples’ feet (John 13:14–15) symbolized this inversion, challenging Roman and Temple hierarchies that valorized status.



The Beatitudes' blessing on the "meek" (Matthew 5:5) and Jesus' identification with "the least of these" (Matthew 25:40) underscored humility as a Kingdom value. This ethic contrasted with contemporary messianic expectations of a militaristic Davidic king.

#### Apocalyptic Symbolism:

- **Richard Bauckham** (2011) interprets Jesus' humility as embodying the "upside-down" values of the coming Kingdom.
- **Joel Marcus** (2009) links servanthood to the suffering servant motif in Isaiah 53, reframing messianic identity.

#### 6. Care for the Marginalized

Jesus prioritized the poor, sick, and socially excluded, declaring, "Whatever you did for one of the least of these... you did for me" (Matthew 25:40). His healing miracles (e.g., Mark 1:40–42; Luke 17:11–19) restored individuals to community, symbolizing the Kingdom's eradication of impurity and exclusion.

The parable of the Sheep and the Goats (Matthew 25:31–46) framed care for the marginalized as the criterion for final judgment, merging ethics with eschatology. Jesus' defense of the poor widow (Mark 12:41–44) and critique of exploitative elites (Luke 20:47) further emphasized solidarity with the oppressed.

#### Scholarly Analysis:

- **Jon Sobrino** (1978) interprets Jesus' option for the poor as a theological mandate for liberation theology.
- **Warren Carter** (2006) situates these acts within Roman imperial contexts, where patronage systems perpetuated inequality.

#### 7. Critique of Hypocrisy and Legalism

Jesus' condemnation of religious leaders—"Woe to you, scribes and Pharisees, hypocrites!" (Matthew 23:13)—targeted legalistic adherence to Torah that neglected "justice, mercy, and faithfulness" (Matthew 23:23). His emphasis on inward purity ("clean the inside of the cup," Matthew 23:26) recentered ethics on heart transformation rather than ritual observance. This critique aligned with apocalyptic denunciations of corrupt institutions, as seen in Qumran polemics against the Temple priesthood. Jesus' Temple protest (Mark 11:15–17), symbolizing its impending destruction, underscored his rejection of exploitative religiosity.

#### Interpretive Debates:

- **E.P. Sanders** (1985) argues Jesus opposed the Temple's commercialization, not Judaism itself.



- **Paula Fredriksen** (1999) views the protest as a symbolic enactment of apocalyptic judgment.

## 8. Radical Trust in Divine Provision

Jesus' instructions to "take no thought for tomorrow" (Matthew 6:34) and reliance on God's provision ("look at the birds of the air," Matthew 6:26) critiqued anxiety over material security. The sending of disciples without "purse, bag, or sandals" (Luke 10:4) modeled dependence on communal hospitality, prefiguring the Kingdom's economy.

This ethic of radical trust (πίστις) assumed God's imminent intervention, rendering earthly concerns secondary. The parable of the Lilies (Luke 12:27–28) reinforced this worldview, contrasting human frailty with divine faithfulness.

### Scholarly Perspectives:

- **Gerd Theissen** (1998) ties this teaching to itinerant radicalism, where disciples embodied the Kingdom's values.
- **John Meier** (1994) interprets it as a call to prioritize spiritual over material priorities.

Jesus' ethical teachings—wealth renunciation, nonviolence, inclusivity, forgiveness, humility, care for the marginalized, and critique of hypocrisy—were not abstract moral principles but urgent responses to the apocalyptic inbreaking of God's Kingdom. By demanding a community marked by radical equality, mercy, and dependence on divine providence, Jesus subverted Roman imperial and Temple-based hierarchies, offering a transformative alternative rooted in eschatological hope. Scholarly debates continue to illuminate the interplay between ethics and apocalypticism, affirming Schweitzer's thesis while enriching our understanding of Jesus' enduring relevance to contemporary struggles for justice.

## Eschatological Motivation for Ethical Behavior

The ethical teachings of the Historical Jesus were not abstract moral principles but urgent imperatives grounded in his apocalyptic worldview. Central to this worldview was the belief that the Kingdom of God was imminent—a divine intervention that would dismantle oppressive powers, enact final judgment, and inaugurate a new age of justice. This section examines how Jesus' eschatological expectations shaped his ethical demands, arguing that moral behavior functioned as both preparation for the Kingdom's arrival and a performative enactment of its values. By analyzing key teachings, parables, and early Christian adaptations, this study demonstrates that eschatology provided the theological framework and motivational force for ethical rigor.

### 1. The Imminence of the Kingdom and Ethical Urgency



Jesus' proclamation—"The time is fulfilled, and the kingdom of God has come near" (Mark 1:15)—framed ethical behavior within a temporal crisis. The urgency of his teachings reflected the conviction that history was approaching its climax, demanding immediate repentance and moral transformation. Parables like the Ten Virgins (Matthew 25:1–13) and the Faithful Servant (Luke 12:35–48) emphasized vigilance, warning that the Kingdom's arrival would be sudden and unexpected. The metaphor of a thief in the night (Matthew 24:43–44) underscored the unpredictability of the eschaton, urging followers to "keep awake" (Mark 13:35). This urgency permeated Jesus' ethical injunctions:

- **Wealth Renunciation:** The call to "sell your possessions" (Luke 12:33) was not merely altruistic but a rejection of materialism incompatible with the Kingdom's values (Matthew 6:19–21).
- **Nonviolence:** By advocating "turning the other cheek" (Matthew 5:39), Jesus discouraged retaliation, trusting divine judgment to rectify injustices (Romans 12:19).
- **Inclusivity:** Fellowship with outcasts (Luke 15:1–2) prefigured the Kingdom's boundary-breaking community, where "the last will be first" (Matthew 20:16).

Albert Schweitzer's (1906) "consistent eschatology" posited that these ethics were "interim" guidelines for the brief period preceding the Kingdom's arrival. However, Dale Allison (2010) challenges Schweitzer's assumption of a fixed timetable, arguing instead that Jesus' urgency stemmed from the symbolic potency of apocalyptic hope rather than literal imminence.

## 2. Divine Judgment as Ethical Motivation

The threat of divine judgment loomed large in Jesus' teachings, serving as a primary motivator for ethical behavior. The parable of the Sheep and the Goats (Matthew 25:31–46) depicted the Son of Man separating the righteous from the wicked based on acts of mercy: feeding the hungry, clothing the naked, and visiting the imprisoned. Eternal punishment ("the eternal fire prepared for the devil and his angels," Matthew 25:41) awaited those who neglected these duties, while the righteous inherited the Kingdom.

Similarly, the parable of the Rich Man and Lazarus (Luke 16:19–31) warned of postmortem reversal, where the poor Lazarus was comforted in Abraham's bosom, while the indifferent rich man suffered torment. These narratives tied ethical conduct directly to eschatological outcomes, merging morality with cosmic accountability.

### Scholarly Perspectives:

- **Bart Ehrman** (1999) emphasizes the centrality of judgment in Jesus' message, arguing that fear of hellfire motivated adherence to his ethics.
- **N.T. Wright** (1996) reinterprets judgment as covenantal: Jesus' warnings targeted Israel's failure to embody its divine calling, not individual damnation.



### 3. The “Already/Not Yet” Tension in Ethical Practice

Jesus’ teachings reflected the tension between the Kingdom’s “already” presence and “not yet” consummation. While he declared that the Kingdom had “come upon you” (Matthew 12:28) through his exorcisms and healings, he also anticipated its future fulfillment (Luke 22:18). This tension shaped ethical behavior as both a participation in the Kingdom’s inbreaking and a preparation for its completion.

- **Healing Miracles:** Jesus’ restoration of lepers (Luke 17:11–19) and the blind (Mark 10:46–52) symbolized the Kingdom’s power to eradicate impurity and suffering.
- **Table Fellowship:** Meals with tax collectors and sinners (Mark 2:15–17) enacted the messianic banquet, a foretaste of the eschatological feast (Isaiah 25:6–8).
- **Forgiveness:** The Lord’s Prayer linked divine forgiveness to human reconciliation (Matthew 6:12), emphasizing that ethical acts in the present mirrored the Kingdom’s future reality.

Pauline theology later systematized this tension, advocating for ethical rigor amid the “already/not yet” paradox. In Romans 13:11–14, Paul urged believers to “cast off the works of darkness” in light of the approaching “day of salvation,” while 1 Corinthians 7:29–31 advised detachment from worldly affairs (“those who deal with the world as though they had no dealings with it”).

### 4. The Delay of the Parousia and Ethical Adaptation

The deferred return of Christ (the *Parousia*) posed a theological crisis for early Christian communities, prompting ethical and doctrinal adaptations. The Gospel of Mark, written during or after the First Jewish-Roman War (66–73 CE), reinterpreted Jesus’ apocalyptic discourse (Mark 13) to address the Temple’s destruction, framing it as a precursor to the Son of Man’s coming.

Paul’s later epistles, such as 2 Thessalonians, countered claims that the “day of the Lord” had already come (2 Thessalonians 2:1–2), urging steadfastness amid persecution. The pastoral epistles (e.g., 1 Timothy, Titus) shifted focus from apocalyptic urgency to institutional stability, advocating for orderly worship and qualified leadership.

The Gospel of John’s realized eschatology transformed the Kingdom’s imminence into a present spiritual reality: “Whoever hears my word and believes him who sent me has eternal life and will not come under judgment but has passed from death to life” (John 5:24). Yet even John retained ethical mandates, particularly the “new commandment” to love one another (John 13:34–35).

### 5. Modern Debates and Interpretive Challenges

Scholars remain divided on whether Jesus’ ethics retain coherence if divorced from apocalyptic expectations.



- **Marcus Borg** (1987) and **John Dominic Crossan** (1991) argue that Jesus' teachings on love, justice, and inclusivity transcend eschatology, offering timeless wisdom for social reform.
- **Dale Allison** (2010) counters that non-apocalyptic interpretations flatten the radicalism of Jesus' ethics, which derive their force from the belief that God's reign is dawning.
- **Albert Schweitzer** (1906) famously concluded that Jesus' ethics were rendered obsolete by the delayed Parousia, though modern scholars like **John P. Meier** (1994) reject this, highlighting their enduring spiritual and communal relevance.

The parable of the Talents (Matthew 25:14–30) illustrates this debate. For Schweitzer, the parable's call to productive stewardship assumes an imminent reckoning. For Borg, it symbolizes responsible living regardless of eschatological timelines. The eschatological motivation for ethical behavior in Jesus' teachings cannot be disentangled from his apocalyptic proclamation. The imminent Kingdom demanded radical reorientation—detachment from wealth, rejection of violence, and solidarity with the marginalized—as both preparation for divine judgment and participation in the new creation. Early Christian communities navigated the tension between the Kingdom's "already" and "not yet," adapting ethics to sustain hope amid delayed expectations. Modern scholarship continues to grapple with the implications of Jesus' apocalypticism, affirming its centrality to his moral vision while exploring its relevance to contemporary issues like climate crisis and systemic inequality. By grounding ethics in eschatological hope, Jesus offered not only a critique of power but a transformative vision of human flourishing under God's reign.

### Assessing the Impact on Early Christian Communities

The apocalyptic ethics of the Historical Jesus profoundly shaped the identity, practices, and theological trajectory of early Christian communities. Emerging within the volatile socio-political and religious milieu of Second Temple Judaism, these communities navigated persecution, internal diversity, and the delayed fulfillment of eschatological hopes while striving to embody the radical ethics of the Kingdom of God. This section evaluates how apocalyptic expectations influenced communal practices, social cohesion, theological adaptations, and the evolving relationship between Jewish and Gentile believers.

#### 1. Communal Practices and Eschatological Identity

Early Christian communities were marked by practices that reflected Jesus' apocalyptic ethics, particularly the belief in an imminent divine intervention. The Jerusalem church's practice of communal resource-sharing—where believers "had all things in common" (Acts 2:44–45)—mirrored Jesus' teachings on wealth renunciation and prioritized solidarity over material security<sup>29</sup>. This radical economic ethic, rooted in the expectation of the Kingdom's arrival, fostered a countercultural identity distinct from Roman imperial and Jewish Temple-based hierarchies.



Baptism, a rite of initiation, symbolized participation in Christ's death and resurrection (Romans 6:3–4), framing believers as citizens of the coming Kingdom<sup>9</sup>. The Eucharist, or Lord's Supper, similarly prefigured the messianic banquet (Luke 22:14–20), reinforcing communal unity and eschatological hope. These rituals underscored the “already/not yet” tension of the Kingdom—a present reality experienced sacramentally but awaiting future consummation.

## 2. Persecution and Martyrdom as Apocalyptic Witness

Persecution under Roman authorities, such as Nero's scapegoating of Christians after the Great Fire of Rome (64 CE), was interpreted through an apocalyptic lens. Martyrs like Polycarp and Perpetua were venerated as witnesses (μάρτυρες) whose deaths paralleled Christ's sacrifice, hastening the Kingdom's triumph over evil. The Book of Revelation, composed amid imperial persecution, framed suffering as part of a cosmic battle between God and Satan (Revelation 12:7–12), offering hope that martyrdom would lead to eternal vindication.

This theology of martyrdom sustained resilience but also heightened tensions with Jewish communities. While some Jews likely viewed Christian claims as provocative, early Christian texts like the *Martyrdom of Polycarp* (c. 155–156 CE) depicted Jewish leaders as complicit in Roman persecution, exacerbating communal divides.

## 3. Theological Adaptations to the Delayed Parousia

The deferred return of Christ (the *Parousia*) prompted significant theological shifts. Paul's early letters, such as 1 Thessalonians (c. 50–51 CE), reflect urgent expectations of Christ's imminent return (1 Thessalonians 4:13–17). However, by the late first century, communities grappled with the “delay of the Parousia,” leading to reinterpretations. The Gospel of John reimagined eschatology as a present spiritual reality: “Whoever believes in me has eternal life” (John 5:24), emphasizing inward transformation over cosmic upheaval.

The destruction of the Jerusalem Temple in 70 CE further catalyzed theological innovation. Mark 13 reinterpreted Jesus' apocalyptic discourse to address the Temple's fall, framing it as a precursor to the Son of Man's coming. Similarly, 2 Peter 3:8–9 reframed divine patience as an opportunity for repentance, softening the urgency of imminence while retaining ethical rigor.

## 4. Interaction with Jewish and Gentile Communities

Early Christianity's identity was forged through complex interactions with Jewish and Gentile worlds. Initially, Jewish believers in Jesus (e.g., the Jerusalem church under James) adhered to Torah observance while proclaiming Jesus as Messiah. However, the inclusion of Gentile converts, debated at the Council of Jerusalem (Acts 15), redefined covenantal boundaries. Pauline theology argued that Gentiles could enter the Kingdom without full adherence to Jewish law (Galatians 2:11–21), a stance that alienated traditionalist Jewish Christians and accelerated the “parting of the ways”.



By the second century, Roman authorities distinguished Christians from Jews, as seen in Suetonius' classification of Christians as a *superstitio* rather than a *gens*<sup>6</sup>. This separation was reinforced by Christian anti-Jewish polemics, such as accusations of deicide in the Gospel of John (John 8:44), and rabbinic critiques of Christian teachings in the Talmud<sup>10</sup>.

## 5. Ethical Radicalism and Social Critique

Jesus' apocalyptic ethics—nonviolence, enemy love, and care for the marginalized—continued to shape communal life. The Didache (c. 1st–2nd century CE) blended apocalyptic warnings with practical ethics, urging believers to “share everything with your brother” and reject wealth accumulation<sup>9</sup>. Early Christians' refusal to participate in Roman military service or imperial cults exemplified their allegiance to the Kingdom's values, often resulting in social marginalization.

The Beatitudes' promise that the “meek will inherit the earth” (Matthew 5:5) subverted Roman ideals of power, offering hope to oppressed groups like slaves and women. Figures like Lydia, a Gentile convert and patron of the Philippian church (Acts 16:14–15), illustrate how apocalyptic ethics empowered marginalized voices within communal structures.

## 6. Institutionalization and Legacy

By the late second century, apocalyptic fervor gave way to institutional stability. The Pastoral Epistles (1–2 Timothy, Titus) emphasized orderly worship and qualified leadership, reflecting a shift from charismatic prophecy to hierarchical governance.

The emergence of bishops and creeds, such as the Nicene Creed (325 CE), standardized doctrine but diluted the radical egalitarianism of Jesus' ethics.

Monastic movements, like the Desert Fathers (4th century CE), preserved apocalyptic asceticism, renouncing wealth and social ties to await the Kingdom. Meanwhile, the Christianization of the Roman Empire under Constantine (312 CE) transformed the faith from a persecuted sect to a state religion, complicating its ethical witness<sup>10</sup>. The impact of apocalyptic ethics on early Christian communities was both transformative and paradoxical. While Jesus' teachings fostered radical solidarity and resistance to imperial power, the delayed Parousia and institutionalization necessitated theological and practical compromises. Communities navigated persecution, internal diversity, and evolving identities, leaving a legacy that continues to inform debates on social justice, nonviolence, and ecological ethics. The enduring relevance of apocalyptic hope lies in its challenge to systems of oppression and its vision of a world transformed by divine justice—a vision that remains central to Christian identity despite historical ambiguities.

## Conclusion



The ethical teachings of the Historical Jesus, rooted in his apocalyptic proclamation of the imminent Kingdom of God, remain a transformative force in both historical scholarship and contemporary ethical discourse. This study has demonstrated that Jesus’ radical demands—renunciation of wealth, nonviolence, inclusivity, and forgiveness—were neither abstract moral ideals nor provisional “interim ethics” (Schweitzer, 1906) but urgent imperatives shaped by the conviction that divine intervention was at hand. By situating Jesus within the socio-political and theological landscape of Second Temple Judaism, the paper reaffirms Albert Schweitzer’s “consistent eschatology” while integrating modern insights into how apocalyptic hope subverted Roman imperial and Temple-based hierarchies. The delayed *Parousia* did not negate the ethical vision but prompted early Christian communities to adapt its principles, fostering practices like communal sharing (Acts 2:44–45) and martyrdom theology (Revelation 2:10) that sustained resilience amid crisis.

The enduring relevance of Jesus’ apocalyptic ethics lies in their challenge to systems of power and their vision of a world transformed by divine justice. Modern movements for social justice, nonviolence, and ecological stewardship often echo Jesus’ radicalism, framing ethical action in light of impending crisis. For instance, liberation theology’s “preferential option for the poor” (Gutiérrez, 1971) mirrors Jesus’ solidarity with the marginalized, while climate activism’s urgency reflects apocalyptic warnings of planetary collapse. Similarly, Christian pacifist traditions, inspired by the Sermon on the Mount, continue to resist militarism and systemic violence. These parallels underscore that apocalyptic ethics are not relics of a primitive worldview but dynamic frameworks for confronting contemporary injustices.

However, the tension between eschatological hope and historical ambiguity persists. The institutionalization of Christianity, particularly after Constantine’s conversion (312 CE), diluted the radical egalitarianism of Jesus’ ethics, aligning the faith with imperial power. Yet monastic movements like the Desert Fathers and modern communities such as the Catholic Worker Movement preserved the apocalyptic call to simplicity and solidarity, proving its adaptability across epochs. The challenge for contemporary theology is to reclaim this radical legacy without succumbing to fatalism or escapism, grounding ethical action in hope rather than despair.

### Future Research Directions

1. **Intersections with Contemporary Crises:** Explore how Jesus’ apocalyptic ethics inform responses to climate change, globalization, and systemic inequality. How might the “already/not yet” Kingdom framework inspire sustainable and just practices in an age of ecological precarity?
2. **Gender and Apocalyptic Ethics:** Investigate the role of women in early Christian apocalyptic movements (e.g., prophetic figures like Philip’s daughters in Acts 21:9) and their implications for modern gender justice. How did apocalypticism empower or constrain marginalized voices?



3. **Comparative Apocalypticisms:** Analyze parallels between Jesus' ethics and other millenarian movements, such as Islamic Mahdism or Indigenous revitalization movements. What common themes emerge in the ethical responses to colonial or imperial oppression?
4. **Digital Apocalypticism:** Examine how digital media shapes contemporary apocalyptic thought (e.g., AI ethics, "doomer" subcultures). Does the digital age demand new interpretations of Jesus' teachings on vigilance and community?
5. **Neuroscience and Ethics:** Apply interdisciplinary approaches to study how apocalyptic hope influences moral decision-making. Can neuroethical research illuminate the psychological impact of eschatological motivation?
6. **Revisiting Pauline Adaptations:** Reassess Paul's "already/not yet" eschatology in light of modern trauma studies. How did early Christians process the cognitive dissonance of delayed fulfillment, and what lessons does this hold for communities facing protracted crises?

In conclusion, Jesus' apocalyptic ethics offer more than a historical curiosity—they provide a prophetic lens through which to critique power, reimagine community, and act with urgency in the face of injustice. By engaging these teachings as both a scholarly pursuit and a lived practice, future research can bridge the gap between ancient texts and modern struggles, ensuring that the radical hope of the Kingdom remains a vital force in an uncertain world.

### References

- Allison, D. C. (2010). *Constructing Jesus: Memory, imagination, and history*. Baker Academic.
- Bauckham, R. (2011). *The Bible in the contemporary world: Hermeneutical ventures*. Eerdmans.
- Borg, M. J. (1987). *Jesus: A new vision*. HarperOne.
- Carter, W. (2006). *The Roman Empire and the New Testament: An essential guide*. Abingdon Press.
- Collins, J. J. (1998). *The apocalyptic imagination: An introduction to Jewish apocalyptic literature* (2nd ed.). Eerdmans.
- Crossan, J. D. (1991). *The historical Jesus: The life of a Mediterranean Jewish peasant*. HarperOne.
- Dunn, J. D. G. (2006). *Unity and diversity in the New Testament: An inquiry into the character of earliest Christianity* (3rd ed.). SCM Press.
- Ehrman, B. D. (1999). *Jesus: Apocalyptic prophet of the new millennium*. Oxford University Press.
- Fredriksen, P. (1999). *Jesus of Nazareth, King of the Jews: A Jewish life and the emergence of Christianity*. Vintage.
- Gutiérrez, G. (1971). *A theology of liberation: History, politics, and salvation*. Orbis Books.
- Horsley, R. A. (2003). *Jesus and empire: The kingdom of God and the new world disorder*. Fortress Press.
- Keith, C. (2011). *Jesus' literacy: Scribal culture and the teacher from Galilee*. T&T Clark.
- Le Donne, A. (2009). *The historiographical Jesus: Memory, typology, and the Son of David*. Baylor University Press.



- Levine, A.-J. (2006). *The misunderstood Jew: The church and the scandal of the Jewish Jesus*. HarperOne.
- Marcus, J. (2009). *Mark 8–16: A new translation with introduction and commentary*. Yale University Press.
- Meier, J. P. (1994). *A marginal Jew: Rethinking the historical Jesus* (Vol. 2). Doubleday.
- Nickelsburg, G. W. E. (2003). *Ancient Judaism and Christian origins: Diversity, continuity, and transformation*. Fortress Press.
- PBS. (n.d.). *Jesus and John the Baptist*. Frontline. Retrieved from <https://www.pbs.org/wgbh/pages/frontline/shows/apocalypse/explanation/jesusjohnbaptist.html>
- Richardson, P. (1996). *Herod: King of the Jews and friend of the Romans*. Fortress Press.
- Sanders, E. P. (1985). *Jesus and Judaism*. Fortress Press.
- Schiffman, L. H. (1994). *Reclaiming the Dead Sea Scrolls: The history of Judaism, the background of Christianity, the lost library of Qumran*. Jewish Publication Society.
- Schweitzer, A. (1906). *The quest of the historical Jesus*. A. & C. Black.
- Sobrinho, J. (1978). *Christology at the crossroads: A Latin American approach*. Orbis Books.
- Theissen, G., & Merz, A. (1998). *The historical Jesus: A comprehensive guide*. Fortress Press.
- Vermes, G. (2011). *The complete Dead Sea Scrolls in English* (7th ed.). Penguin Classics.
- Wink, W. (1992). *Engaging the powers: Discernment and resistance in a world of domination*. Fortress Press.
- Wright, N. T. (1996). *Jesus and the victory of God*. Fortress Press.
- Yoder, J. H. (1972). *The politics of Jesus: Vicit Agnus Noster*. Eerdmans.